PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

CARPMARLS & RANSFORD

Attn. Tunstall, Christopher S 43-45 Bloomsbury Square London WC1A 2RA	THE IN LEHNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
UNITED KINGDOM 0 5 SEP 2005	(PCT Rule 44,1)
G. PMALLS & RAISSOND AULISMED ST. O	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 05/09/2005
Applicant's or agent's file reference	
P037877WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/GB2005/002116	International filing date (day/month/year) 27/05/2005
Applicant	
CILAG AG INTERNATIONAL	
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted herew	n report and the written opinion of the International Searching ith.
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claim	ns of the International Application (see Rule 46):
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is nor	
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fe	chemin des Colombettes ascimile No.: (41–22) 740.14.35
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the account	
The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the li	report will be established and that the declaration under nternational Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) addition	onal fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:
the protest together with the decision thereon has bee applicant's request to forward the texts of both the pro	n transmitted to the International Bureau together with the test and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the app	
4. Reminders	
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International B before the completion of the technical preparations for internation	publication, a notice of withdrawal of the International ureau as provided in Bules 90bis 1 and 90bis 3, respectively
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy o international preliminary examination report has been or is to be the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the price	f such comments to all designated Offices unless an established. These comments would also be made available to rify date.
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of so examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postgone the date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Off	entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months months.	s (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the app Guide, Volume II. National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	licable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's

Authorized officer

Vera Schertl

Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentian 2 NL_2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340–2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340–3016

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions consoning the filing of amendments under article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Tracky, the Regulations and the Administrative instructions under that Tracky. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Quide, a publication of WPD.

In these Notes, "Article", "Rule", and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should have were be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preferance are amended to the claims under Article 19 except where, or, of the applicant worst the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the obtains before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When?

Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 15 months from the priority date, whichever time imit exprise slate. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been neceived on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rules 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been its filed, see below.

How?

Either by canceling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

Notes to Form PCT/ISA/220 (first sheet) (January 1994)

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new:
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]: "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: *Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11.*
- [Miner originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims;
 Colaims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added.*
 Colaims 1 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged.
- Minere various kinds of amendments are made;
 Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 1-4, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added.*

"Statement under article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims. It must be in the language in which the international appplication is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments under Article 19, a demand for international praliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the same time of filing the amendments with the international Bureau, also file a copy of such amendments with the International Preliminary Examining Authority (see Fluie 82 2(a), first sentence).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, where upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220
P037877WO	ACTION	rell as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/GB2005/002116	27/05/2005	28/05/2004
Applicant		
CILAG AG INTERNATIONAL		
This International Search Report has been according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching A	uthority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists	of a total of 5 sheets.	
X It is also accompanied by	a copy of each prior art document cited in t	his report.
Basis of the report With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, unit	international search was carried out on the ess otherwise indicated under this item.	basis of the international application in the
The international this Authority (Rui	search was carried out on the basis of a tra le 23.1(b)).	nslation of the international application furnished to
b. With regard to any nucleo	otide and/or amino acid sequence disclos	ed in the International application, see Box No. I.
2. Certain claims were four	nd unsearchable (See Box II).	
3. Unity of Invention is lace	king (see Box III).	
With regard to the title,		
X the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	
the text has been establis	hed by this Authority to read as follows:	
With regard to the abstract,		
the text is approved as su	bmitted by the applicant.	
X the text has been establis	hed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Auth	ority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant
may, within one month fro	m the date of mailing of this international se	earch report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regard to the drawings,		
a. the figure of the drawings to be p	ublished with the abstract is Figure No	2
as suggested by t	**	
	s Authority, because the applicant failed to	
	s Authority, because this figure better chara e published with the abstract.	cterizes the invention.
D Note of the lightes is to b	publicieu with the abstract.	

International application No.

PCT/GB2005/002116

Box No. IV Text of the abstract (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

An injection device (110) is described having a housing (112) and a housing closure means (130). The injection device houses a syringe (114) having a needle (118) which is sealed by a boot (120). The housing closure means (130) is arranged so that the boot can be connected to the housing closure means simply, but cannot be removed from the housing closure means. The housing and housing closure means are arranged so that upon rotation of the housing closure means is moved availly away from the housing and the boot and the boot is removed from the syringe. The injection device is simple to use and manufacture.

International Application No PCT/GB2005/002116

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 A61M5/20 A61M5/32

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) IPC 7 A61M

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of	the relevant researce	Relevant to claim No
variogoty .	Owanon or document, with autocation, where appropriate, or	піс і аклані Расеа Ясе	rielevant to claim No
Y /	US 5 957 897 A (JEFFREY ET AL 28 September 1999 (1999-09-28 abstract; figures 1,2		1-15
·	US 6 447 480 B1 (BRUNEL MARC) 10 September 2002 (2002-09-10 abstract; figure 1)	1-15
′	US 3 329 146 A (JR. LEONARD F 4 July 1967 (1967-07-04) the whole document	. WALDMAN,)	2
Í	US 5 540 660 A (JENSON ET AL) 30 July 1996 (1996-07-30) abstract; figures 4,5		5
		-/	
VI Sue	ner documents are listed in the continuation of box C.		
X Furt	itel documents are instead in the continuation of DOX C.		
_		Patent family members are listed	in annex.
A' docume consider E' earlier filing of L' docume which citatio O' docume other	tegories of cited documents: and defining the general state of the art which is not ferred be of pellicitate relevance. Sociament but published on or after the International later and the published on or after the International later and the published on priviley cleam(s) or is deed to establish the published on do another proposal reason (so specifies) and referring the published on and continued to another and the published on and another ano	Patient family members are islaed "It later document published after the init or op priority date and not in conflict with or op priority date and not in conflict with in or op priority date and not in conflict with the principle or the invention of the principle or the invention of the principle or the invention of the consect the control to considered movel or cannot be considered movel or cannot be considered to involve an in obcument as to comband with one or m consument as to comband with one or m consument as to comband with one or m consument as comband as the consumer as the	ernational filing date the application but eory underlying the laimed invention to be considered to cument is taken alone laimed invention wontive step when the one other such docu- us to a person skilled
A' docume consider E' earlier; filing of L' docume which citatio O' docume other; P' docume later ti	and defining the general state of the art which is not tered to be of particular retevance coursents but published on or after the International state. In this man, thow doubts on priority, clamies) or the which may thow doubts on priority, clamies) or the priority of the priority of another on or other special in search (see speciallo) and reterring to an oral disclosure, use, exhabition or means:	The later document published after the interpretation of priority date and not not not conflict with clied to understand the principle or the invention. **Document of particular relevance; the cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot an inventive saley when the discount of particular relevance; the cannot be considered to wrive an in ments, such combination being otivious in the art.	ernational filing date the application but eory underlying the latimed invention to be considered to cument is taken alone latimed invention to step when the one other such docu- us to a person skilled family
A' docume consider E' earlier filing of L' docume which chation O' docume other P' docume later to	ant defining the general state of the art which is not tere of the lot of particular rehievance to be of or particular rehievance consideration and published on or differ the International lateral consideration of the published of the six device the published of all or six device the published of all or and the published of an or and the published prior to the International filing date but and the prior to the International filing date but and the prior to declare or the published prior to the International filing date but and the prior to declare or	"It later document published after the init or priority date and not in conflict with clind to discretand the principle or the invention of personal processors." "A conflict of personal processors are a conflict or demands the conflicted for conflicted for conflicted for conflicted for conflicted for personal processors. The document of personal processors are conflicted for personal processors. The document is combined with one or ments, such combination being provided in the art. "A document member of the same patient."	ernational filing date the application but eory underlying the latimed invention to be considered to cument is taken alone latimed invention to step when the one other such docu- us to a person skilled family
A' documiconside E' earlier filing of L' documie which citatio O' documienter P' documilater ti Date of the	ont defining the general state of the art which is not ord performer relevance. Some of performer relevance. Some of performer relevance. In the control of published on or differ the International later. Int which may throw decide on privily claim(s) or is cled to establish the published more desired or establish the published or decide or established the published or decide or established or and disclosure, use, exhibition or measure of the published privile to be international filing date but and the priviley desired claims.	"I later document published after the inter- or priority date and not in conflict with clot of understand the principle or the clot of understand the principle or the "X" document of particular relevance to ten- carino the considered moves to considered moves to "document of particular relevance, the document of particular relevance, the document is combinated with one or in- oducarino its combinated with one or in- ments, such combination being orivin the cir. "A" document invention of the same patient Date of making of the international see	ernational filing date the application but eory underlying the latimed invention to be considered to cument is taken alone latimed invention to step when the one other such docu- us to a person skilled family

International Application No PCT/GB2005/002116

itegory °	Itlon) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
gory '	Channel or co-contents, with indication, where appropriate, or the relevant passages	Helevant to claim No.
	WO 03/066141 A (BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY; GIAMBATTISTA, LUCIO; DESALVO, DAVID) 14 August 2003 (2003-08-14) abstract; figures	1
,	US 2003/121815 A1 (BERGERON LUC ET AL) 3 July 2003 (2003-07-03) abstract; figures	1

Information on patent family members

International Application No PCT/GB2005/002116

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5957897 A	28-09-1999	AT AU CA DE DK EP ES WO JP	283081 T 709098 B2 2680995 A 2193231 A1 69533811 D1 956061 T3 0956061 A1 2233944 T3 9535126 A1 10507935 T	15-12-2004 19-08-1999 15-01-1996 28-12-1995 30-12-2004 04-04-2005 17-11-1999 16-06-2005 28-12-1995 04-08-1998
US 6447480 B1	10-09-2002	SI FR AT	0956061 T1 2784033 A1 282449 T	30-06-2005 07-04-2000 15-12-2004
		AU BR CA CN DE EP ES WO JP MX DK	202499 A 9914126 A 2345649 A1 1320053 A 69922034 D1 1117454 A1 2234294 T3 0020059 A1 2002526175 T PA01003191 A 1117454 T3	18-12-2004 26-04-2000 19-06-2001 13-04-2000 31-10-2001 23-12-2004 25-07-2001 16-06-2005 13-04-2000 20-08-2002 02-07-2002 21-03-2005
US 3329146 A	04-07-1967	NONE		
US 5540660 A	30-07-1996	US US	5267962 A 5380285 A	07-12-1993 10-01-1995
WO 03066141 A	14-08-2003	US US AU EP JP WO US	2002133122 A1 2003014018 A1 2002332466 A1 1483004 A1 2005516691 T 03066141 A1 2004193110 A1	19-09-2002 16-01-2003 02-09-2003 08-12-2004 09-06-2005 14-08-2003 30-09-2004
US 2003121815 A1	03-07-2003	AU BG BR CA CN CZ EE EP HR WO JP MX NO PL SZA	5248801 A 107224 A 0110798 A 2405978 A1 1429124 A 20023810 A3 3971 B1 200200638 A 1289596 A1 20020848 A2 0302242 A2 0187338 A1 2003533292 T PA02010686 A 20025494 A 367512 A1 16212002 A3	26-11-2001 31-07-2003 11-02-2003 22-11-2001 09-07-2003 16-04-2003 25-12-2003 15-04-2004 12-03-2003 29-02-2004 28-10-2003 22-11-2001 11-11-2003 30-07-2004 15-11-2002 21-02-2005 01-04-2003 22-10-2003

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

10.	To: see form PCT//SA/220			PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORIT (PCT Rule 43 bis.1) Date of molling (day/mor/th/year) see form PCT/SA210 (second sheet)	
	licant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
	mational application T/GB2005/00211		International filing date 27.05.2005	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.05.2004
CIL	AG AG INTERN	ATIONAL			
1.	Box No. I	Basis of the op	ons relating to the fol	lowing items:	
1.		Basis of the op Priority	pinion	•	ntive step and industrial applicability
1.	Box No. I Box No. II	Basis of the op Priority	pinion ment of opinion with reg	•	ntive step and industrial applicability
1.	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state	pinion ment of opinion with reg f invention	ard to novelty, inve	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
1.	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. VI Box No. V	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state	ment of opinion with reg of invention rement under Rule 43 <i>bi</i> tations and explanation	ard to novelty, inve	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
1.	Box No. I Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects	ment of opinion with reg f invention rement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation rents cited s in the international ap	ard to novelty, inve s.1(a)(i) with regard s supporting such s plication	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
1.	Box No. I Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned state applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects	pinion ment of opinion with reg f invention mement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation ments cited	ard to novelty, inve s.1(a)(i) with regard s supporting such s plication	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. I Box No. III Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned stat applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defects Certain observ	ment of opinion with reg f invention rement under Rule 43 <i>b</i> itations and explanation rents cited s in the international ap	ard to novelty, inve s.1(a)(i) with regard s supporting such s plication	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion c the applicant che	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned stat applicability; ci Certain defect Certain observ ION international pre- if the Internation coses an Author	pinion ment of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43bi itations and explanation ents cited s in the international ap- rations on the internatio liminary examination is al Preliminary Examinit ity other than this one t	ard to novelty, inve s.1(a)(i) with regare s supporting such a plication nal application made, this opinion ig Authority ("IPEA"	to novelty, inventive step or industrial
1.	Box No. II Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. IV Box No. VV Box No. VV Box No. VV Box No. VV Horizon Further ACT If a demand for written opinion characteristic account of the applicant of the applicant of the third point of the propinion of the third point of the propinion is such that the p	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned stat applicability: Certain docum Certain decum Certain observ ION International pre- of the Internation reau under Rule nasidered. as provided ab: EA a written repl date of mailing	pinion ment of opinion with reg f invention rement under Rule 42b tations and explanation ents cited in the international ap rations on the internation illminary examination is al Preliminary Examinir ty other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written ve, considered to be a v together, where approx	and to novelty, investigations and to novelty, investigation in a supporting such a pilication neal application made, this opinion grauthority ("IPEA" and to be the IPEA and to pinions of this Interview written opinion of the pinion of the	I to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement will usually be considered to be a). However, this does not apply where he chosen [PEA has notifed the
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. II Box No. IV Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACT If a demand for written opinion of the applicant of international But with the International But with the Internation of the propilear in the so co	Basis of the op Priority Non-establish Lack of unity of Reasoned stat applicability; ci Certain docum Certain defect Certain deserv ION International pre- tri the international pre- t	pinion ment of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43b itations and explanation ents cited in international ap ations on the internatio liminary examination is al Preliminary Examini ity other than this one to 66.1bis(b) that written or bet, considered to be a by together, where appro of Form PCTASA220 o	and to novelty, investigations and to novelty, investigation in a supporting such a pilication neal application made, this opinion grauthority ("IPEA" and to be the IPEA and to pinions of this Interview written opinion of the pinion of the	it to novelty, inventive step or industrial statement will usually be considered to be a j. However, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notified the mational Searching Authority in the IPEA, the applicant is invited to ments. Defore the excitation of three

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465 Authorized Officer

Ehrsam, F

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2343



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

1.

2.

3.

4. Additional comments:

International application No. PCT/GB2005/002116

D M	
Box N	lo. I Basis of the opinion
	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in nguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
la	his opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Pul
	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type	e of material:
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. forn	nat of material:
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
ha Co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as porporiate, were furnished.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1
No: Claims 2-15

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-15

1-15

....

Yes: Claims No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

Industrial applicability (IA)

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item V

- 1 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US-A-5 957 897 (JEFFREY ET AL) 28 September 1999 (1999-09-28)
 - D2: US-B1-6 447 480 (BRUNEL MARC) 10 September 2002 (2002-09-10)
 - D3: US-A-3 329 146 (JR. LEONARD F. WALDMAN,) 4 July 1967 (1967-07-04)
 - D4: US-A-5 540 660 (JENSON ET AL) 30 July 1996 (1996-07-30)
 - D5: WO 03/066141 A (BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY; GIAMBATTISTA, LUCIO; DESALVO, DAVID) 14 August 2003 (2003-08-14)
 - D6: US 2003/121815 A1 (BERGERON LUC ET AL) 3 July 2003 (2003-07-03)

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

involve an inventive step.

2.1 The subject-matter of claims 1-15 lacks inventive step (Art. 33 (3) PCT). A injection device is already known from the document D1, figure 1. The sole difference of the subject-matter of claim 1 over D1 is the camming surface at its end to permit an easy disconnection of the cap (130). However, if the skilled man would wish to improve the device, he would have used the known principle of disconnecting elements by a cam surface as already disclosed in D2 figure 1, (see in particular references 25 and 39). One skilled in the art would have thus arrived at the device of the present invention. The subject-matter of the above mentioned claims does therefore not appear to

Re Item VII.

 The description should have been brought into conformity with the new claims to be filed; care should be taken during revision, especially of the introductory portion including any statements of problem or advantage, not to add subject-matter which extends beyond the content of the application as originally filed (Art. 34 2) b)).

- To meet the requirements of Rules 6 3 b) the independent claim should have been properly cast in a two part form, with those features which in combination are part of the nearest prior art being placed in the first part.
- To meet the requirements of Rule 5.1 a vi, the cited documents should have been identified in the description and the relevant background art therein is to be indicated.
- The features of the claims should have been provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

Re Item VIII.

 Claim 16 lacks clarity since it relates only to figures. To overcome such an objection, claim 16 should be deleted (Art. 6 PCT).